



Cabot
Learning
Federation

Centre Policy
A/AS Levels
and GCSES
Summer2021
John Cabot
Academy

Date Adopted: 30 April 2021, Cabot Learning Federation
Implementation Date: 30 April 2021

History of most recent Policy changes

Date	Page	Change	Origin of Change e.g. TU request, Change in legislation
Date	E.g. Whole Document	Detail of change	Reason for change
April 2021	Whole document	Implementation of CLF wide policy	
April 2021	Whole Document	Head of Centre adaptations for JCA/Post Academy Approach	Adaptations to incorporate nuances of setting

Contents

History of most recent Policy changes.....	2
Contents.....	3
Equalities Impact Screening.....	4
1 Statement of Intent.....	5
2 Roles and responsibilities.....	5
3 Training, support and guidance.....	7
4 Use of appropriate evidence.....	8
5 Determining teacher assessed grades.....	9
6 Internal quality assurance.....	10
7 Access Arrangements and Special Considerations.....	11
8 Objectivity.....	12
9 Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data.....	13
10 Authenticating evidence.....	13
11 Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest.....	14
12 Private candidates.....	15
13 External Quality Assurance.....	15
14 Results.....	16
15 Appeals.....	16

Equalities Impact Screening

This will be completed and added to the policy by 28 May 2021

Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed grades – summer 2021: John Cabot Academy

This policy takes account of the guidance provided in the document: *JCQ Guidance on the determination of grades for A/AS Levels and GCSEs for summer 2021*

In addition Centre Policies will need to take account of the requirements of exam boards awarding Vocational qualifications BTEC:

BTEC qualifications Awarding Results in 2021 Guidance for certificating and non-certificating learners.

1 Statement of Intent

The purpose of this policy is:

1. To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.
2. To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
3. To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
4. To support teachers to take evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
5. To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.
6. To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.
7. To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
8. To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
9. To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.
10. To utilise trust wide strategies and activities for support and challenge in all stages of the TAG process with respect to the above.

2 Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

2.1 Head of Centre

- Our Head of Centre, Kate Willis, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.
- Our Head of Centre has overall responsibility for the John Cabot Academy as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.

- Our Head of Centre will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
- Our Head of Centre will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.
- Our Head of Centre will ensure that robust trust wide processes for assessment, standardisation, moderation and quality assurance have been engaged with at all levels within the centre

2.2 Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Department

Our Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Departments will:

- provide training and support to our other staff.
- support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.
- ensure an effective approach within and across departments and authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
- be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
- ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
- ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.
- ensure that a Head of Department Checklist is completed for each qualification that they are submitting.
- ensure that teachers and Heads of department engage with trust wide subject specific training, support and challenge including the use of the Subject Narrative for Assessment when making judgements.
- actively support trust wide approaches to quality assurance as trust wide leaders. Working with Executive leaders to validate and sign-off the quality assurance.

2.3 Teachers/ Specialist Teachers / SENCo

Our teachers, specialist teachers and SENCo will:

- ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the Joint Council for Qualifications, to provide teacher assessed grades for each student they have entered for a qualification.
- ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.
- make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.
- engage with trust wide subject specific support and challenge for evidence gathering, assessment, standardisation and moderation and follow the directives of the central team with regard to process and interpretation of evidence
- utilize the expertise and experience of colleagues within our trust wide subject communities and for sole teachers, where expertise does not exist within the Trust, the production of TAGs

may involve collaboration with colleagues in local schools or via national teacher networks to support effective standardisation and moderation of assessment

- use our Subject Narrative for Assessment as the underpinning document for centre based moderation, the final selection of evidence and final awarding.
- use our Subject Narrative for Assessment to produce a class specific JCQ Assessment Record, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded.
- articulate with clarity and accuracy the process and decisions for each grade awarded to the subject leader and/or senior leader with management responsibility.
- securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.

2.4 Examinations Officer

Our Examinations Officer will:

- be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.
- be responsible for the secure storage and retrieval of evidence. In the absence of the exam officer the Head of centre will take responsibility.

3 Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

3.1 Training

- Teachers involved in determining grades in our centre will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students.
- All colleagues involved in determining and quality assuring grades will engage and use trust wide training, support and challenge for the different elements of the TAG process. This will be both generic and subject specific.
- Teachers will engage fully with all training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisations.

3.2 Support for Newly Qualified Teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment

This section provides details of our approach to training, support and guidance for newly qualified teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment

- We will provide mentoring from experienced teachers to NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment.
- We will put in place additional internal reviews of teacher assessed grades for NQTs and other teachers as appropriate

- Where appropriate support is not available within the centre, we will utilise the wider subject community within our trust and through collaboration with colleagues in local schools or via national teacher networks.

4 Use of appropriate evidence

How our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: Guidance on grading for teachers.

4.1 Use of evidence

This section gives details in relation to our use of evidence.

- Teachers making judgements will have regard to the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.
- All candidate evidence used to determine teacher assessed grades, and associated documentation, will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.
- We will be using assessment evidence in line with our trust wide curriculum and assessment processes, as detailed in each trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment class specific JCQ Assessment Record. For Post 16 subjects which are not delivered elsewhere in the trust, the Subject Narrative and JCQ Assessment Record will be a single document.
- We will be using student work produced in response to assessment materials provided by our awarding organisation(s), including groups of questions, past papers or similar materials such as practice or sample papers.
- We will use non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework), even if this has not been fully completed.
- We will use student work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification, that follow the same format as awarding organisation materials, and have been marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.
- We will use substantial class or homework (including work that took place during remote learning).
- We will use internal tests taken by pupils.
- We will use mock exams taken over the course of study.
- We will use records of a student's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as music, drama and PE.

We provide further detail in the following areas:

4.2 Additional Assessment Materials

- We will use additional assessment materials to give students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed.
- We will use additional assessment materials to give students an opportunity to show improvement, for example, to validate or replace an existing piece of evidence.

- We will use additional assessment materials to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete.
- We will combine and/or remove elements of questions where, for example, a multi-part question includes a part which focuses on an element of the specification that hasn't been taught.
- We will detail this in our trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment and class specific JCQ Assessment Record. For Post 16 subjects which are not delivered elsewhere in the trust, this will be contained in the Subject Narrative and Assessment Record Form.

Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.
- We will ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school or college.
- We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
- We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
- We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.
- We will detail this in our trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment and class specific JCQ Assessment Record. For Post 16 subjects which are not delivered elsewhere in the trust, this will be contained in the Subject Narrative and Assessment Record Form

5 Determining teacher assessed grades

Awarding teacher assessed grades based on evidence

- our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
- Our teachers will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias. This is supported by trust-wide subject communities and subject narratives for each subject.
- Our teachers will produce a class specific JCQ Assessment Record for each subject cohort and will share this with their Head of Department. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be shared. This will utilise the trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment as the core with cohort specific additions.
- *For Post 16 subjects which are not delivered elsewhere in the trust, this will be documented in the Subject Narrative and Assessment Record Form shared with members of Post 16 SLT.*

6 Internal quality assurance

The approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

6.1 Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration

Our approach to internal standardisation and moderation, within and across subject departments. The internal quality assurance has a significant trust-wide approach to standardisation and moderation that supports the academy/Centre level quality assurance.

- We will ensure that all teachers and leaders involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.
- We will ensure that all teachers and leaders engage in subject level standardisation and moderation activity which will take place at both trust and centre level.
- In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process.
- We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:
 - Arriving at teacher assessed grades
 - Marking of evidence
 - Reaching a holistic grading decision
 - Applying the use of grading support and documentation
- We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.
- We will ensure that the trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment and class specific JCQ Assessment Record will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.
- For Post 16 subjects which are not delivered elsewhere in the trust, the combined Subject Narrative and Assessment Record Form will provide the basis for internal standardisation.
- Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre.
- This will be a member of the senior leadership team with line management responsibility supported by a subject specialist from the trust.
- In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.
- We will make use of subject expertise available to us within the trust to ensure objectivity and accuracy.
- We will use a set of scripted questions at each stage to support and challenge the judgements teachers make.

6.2 Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

Our internal process to ensure a comparison of teacher assessed grades at qualification level to results for previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification.

- We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in past June series in which exams took place (e.g. 2017 - 2019).
- We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.
- We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
- We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
- We will consider our learning about outcomes as a centre within the trust at subject and whole cohort level.
- We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, which address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.

This section gives details of the approach our centre will follow if our initial teacher assessed grades for a qualification are viewed as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years.

- We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.
- We will include grades from international GCSEs (for example, in English) because we have previously offered these.
- We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021.
- We will use centre and trust data expertise to support this.

This section gives details of changes in our cohorts that need to be reflected in our comparisons.

- We will omit subjects that we no longer offer from the historical data.
- We will add subjects that are now offered at the centre.

7 Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and consider mitigating circumstances in particular instances.

7.1 Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

- Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.
- Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will remove that assessment from the basket of evidence and alternative evidence obtained.
- Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements.

- We will record, as part of the trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment and class specific JCQ Assessment Record, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.
- To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, we will ensure all teachers have read and understood the document: JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020

7.2 Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

- Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student.
- Each trust wide Subject narrative of Assessment and class specific JCQ Assessment Record will detail what has and has not been covered from the specification
- For Post 16 subjects which are not delivered elsewhere in the trust, this will be contained in the Subject Narrative and Assessment Record Form
- In particular, the coverage of Assessment Objectives will be highlighted across assessments to demonstrate access to attainment.

8 Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.

Senior Leaders, Heads of Department and Centre will consider:

- sources of unfairness and bias (conscious and unconscious) (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
- how to minimise bias (conscious and unconscious) in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias); and
- bias (conscious and unconscious) in teacher assessed grades.

To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:

- bias (conscious and unconscious) can skew judgements;
- the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
- teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
- unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed; and

Our internal standardisation and moderation processes at trust and centre level will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process:

- Decisions and judgements will be articulated and then challenged by peers within the centre and across the trust.

- Decisions and judgements will be challenged by subject leaders at centre and trust level.
- Decisions and judgements will be challenged by senior leaders and our Head of Centre at centre level.
- Senior leadership teams will consider the whole picture of each student's grades, using their contextual knowledge to further challenge and support judgements. Including insight from members of staff who know students well.

9 Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to recording decisions and to retaining evidence and data.

Using the trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment:

- We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades.
- *For Post 16 subjects which are not delivered elsewhere in the trust, this will be contained in the Subject Narrative and Assessment Record Form*
- We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.
- We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
- We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
- We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
- We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).

10 Authenticating evidence

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

- Robust mechanisms, which will include the comparison of students work over time, the use of moderation to establish the standard and the weighting based on the level of control, will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors.
- It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by all awarding organisations to support these determinations of authenticity.

11 Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

11.1 Confidentiality

The measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.
- All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.
- Students will be given an overview of the evidence submitted on their behalf, based on the trust wide Subject Narrative of Assessment and the class specific JCQ Record of Assessment.
- For Post 16 subjects, this will be based on the combined Subject Narrative and Assessment Record Form
- Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/guardians.

11.2 Malpractice

The measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

This section details the measures in place in our centre to prevent malpractice and, where that proves impossible, to handle cases in accordance with awarding organisation requirements.

- Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.
- All staff involved have been made aware of these policies, and have received training in them as necessary.
- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:
 - breaches of internal security;
 - deception;
 - improper assistance to students;
 - failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;
 - over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;
 - allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate;
 - centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;
 - failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages; and
 - failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.

- The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.

11.3 Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest and how we will respond to such allegations.

- To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
- Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.
- We will also carefully consider the need if to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.

12 Private candidates

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to working with Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades.

- Our arrangements for assessing Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are identical to the approaches utilised for internal candidates.
- Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.
- In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for Private Candidates have been excluded from our analysis.

13 External Quality Assurance

The arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

This section outlines the arrangements we have in place to ensure the relevant documentation and assessment evidence can be provided in a timely manner for the purposes of External Quality Assurance sampling, and that staff can be made available to respond to enquiries.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the JCQ Guidance.

- All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.
- Instances where student evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.
- All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.

14 Results

Our approach to the receipt and issue of results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.
- Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.
- Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.
- Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
- Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
- Parents/guardians have been made aware of arrangements for results days.

15 Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to managing appeals, including Centre Reviews, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the JCQ Guidance.
- Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.
- All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.
- Learners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.



- Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.
- Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.
- Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.